

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,227	04/19/2004	Kevin R. Buchler	HILB/624C4	2901
7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.			THEISEN, MARY LYNN F	
2700 Carew To	wer			
441 Vine St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincinnati, OH 45202			1732	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 08052005
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/2004. S. Patent and Trademark Office	PTO-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio * See the attached detailed Office actio 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
	documents have been received in A	
<u> </u>	documents have been received.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner, Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any obje		· ·
9) The specification is objected to by th10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 20</u>		biected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers	·	·
	ction and/or election requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	ction and/or election requirement	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>71-78</u> is/are rejected.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>71-78</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		
Disposition of Claims	and Park Co.	
	oo andor Ex parto Quayro, 1000 O.	5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi		
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) file		
Status		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO v will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Period for Reply	'00 DEDLY 10 OFT TO EVELOE 6.	40NTH(0) 5004
The MAILING DATE of this commun		
,	Examiner Mary Lynn F. Theisen	Art Unit
Office Action Summary	<u> </u>	
	10/827,227	BUCHLER ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,227

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 71-78 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-70 of U.S. Patent No. 6,849,141. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applying or adhesively applying wood veneer (patent claims) encompasses the present adhering, securing, affixing, attaching set forth.
- 3. Claims 71-78 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,503,429. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applying wood veneer (patent claims) encompasses the present adhering, securing, affixing, attaching set forth.
- 4. Claims 71-78 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending

Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

Application No. 10/337,104. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applying wood veneer (co-pending application) encompasses the present adhering, securing, affixing, attaching set forth.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 571-272-1210. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Lynn F. Theisen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732